

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x  
JOEL S. ARIO, ACTING INSURANCE  
COMMISSIONER OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF  
PENNSYLVANIA, IN HIS CAPACITY AS  
LIQUIDATOR OF RELIANCE INSURANCE  
COMPANY,

07 CV 6486 (PAC)

**ANSWER WITH  
COUNTERCLAIMS**

Plaintiff,

-against-

LYNN WHITE, ROSEN RODRIGUEZ, BARRY KAY,  
Collectively doing business as "AXIUM  
ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, INC., and  
AXIUM ASP, INC.

Defendants.

-----x  
Defendants LYNN WHITE, RUBEN RODRIGUEZ and BARRY KAY  
(hereinafter, "individual defendants"), by PAULA SCHWARTZ  
FROME, ESQ., their undersigned counsel, answering the  
complaint, do hereby allege as follows:

1. Individual defendants deny knowledge and  
information sufficient to form a belief as to the  
allegations in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the complaint.

2. Individual defendants admit the allegations in  
paragraphs 3 and 4 of the complaint.

3. Individual defendants deny the allegations in  
paragraph 5 and 6 of the complaint.

4. Individual defendants deny knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 of the complaint.

**ANSWERING THE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5. Individual defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 10, 11, and 12 of the complaint.

6. Individual defendants deny knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in paragraphs 13 and 14 of the complaint.

7. Individual defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 15 of the complaint.

8. Individual defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 16 of the complaint, and affirmatively aver that they are not obligated to pay the said amounts.

9. Individual defendants deny knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in paragraph 17 of the complaint.

10. Individual defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 18 of the complaint.

**ANSWERING THE SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

11. Responding to paragraph 19 of the complaint, individual defendants repeat and reallege the foregoing admissions, denials and denials of knowledge and information.

12. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 20, 21 and 22 of the complaint.

**ANSWERING THE THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13. Responding to paragraph 23 of the complaint, individual defendants repeat and reallege the foregoing admissions, denials and denials of knowledge and information.

14. Individual defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 24 and 25 of the complaint.

15. Individual defendants deny knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in paragraph 26 of the complaint.

16. Individual defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 27 of the complaint.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

17. Plaintiff's predecessor, Reliance Insurance Company, or its predecessor (hereinafter "Reliance") knew and believed that it was dealing with a corporation in its sale of the insurance policies and in the other agreements which form the subject of this complaint (hereinafter, collectively, "the insurance policies").

18. Reliance received and retained the benefits of the insurance policies.

19. Reliance knew, understood and agreed that there was no individual liability by any of the individual defendants under the insurance policies.

20. The insurance policies were intended to be issued to a corporation, Axium Entertainment Service Corp., but were erroneously issued to Axium Entertainment Services, Inc.

21. Based upon the actions of Reliance, petitioner is estopped from claiming that it dealt with individuals rather than with a corporation.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

22. The insurance policies were erroneously issued in the name Axium Entertainment Services, Inc. rather than Axium Entertainment Service Corp.

23. The variance in the name was *de minimus*, and did not affect any substantive rights of Reliance.

24. The variance should be disregarded, and the insurance policies regarded as having been issued to Axium Entertainment Service Corp.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

25. Any signature by any of the individual defendants on any of the insurance policies was affixed in a representative capacity, not personally.

26. Individual defendants are not personally responsible for any corporate debt incurred under the insurance policies.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

27. In any actions taken by any of the individual defendants in regard to the insurance policies, they were acting as the agents of a disclosed and existing principal.

28. Reliance understood that it was dealing with the principal and not the agents.

29. Individual defendants, as agents, are not personally liable on the obligations of the principal.

**AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

30. The last action taken by any of the individual defendants was taken in 1999.

31. The statute of limitations has expired on this action.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST COUNTERCLAIM**

32. At all times relevant hereto, Axium Entertainment Service Corp. was a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York.

33. At all times relevant hereto, the individual defendants were the shareholders of Axium Entertainment Service Corp.

34. Axiom Entertainment Service Corp. purchased the insurance policies from Reliance.

35. Due to inadvertence or a scrivener's error, the insurance policies were issued in the name of Axiom Entertainment Services, Inc.

36. Upon information and belief, this error was made by Reliance and not noticed by Axiom.

37. All information required to activate or maintain the insurance policies was accurately supplied as to Axiom Entertainment Service Corp.

38. The parties intended that the insurance policies be issued to Axiom Entertainment Service Corp, under its correct name.

39. The individual defendants were not asked to, and did not, personally guarantee any of the obligations of Axiom Entertainment Service Corp.

40. The policy should be reformed to reflect the correct name of the insured.

41. The complaint should be dismissed as to the individual defendants, who are not personally liable.

WHEREFORE, the individual defendants demand judgment:

A. Dismissing the complaint as to them;

B. On the First Counterclaim, reforming the insurance policy to reflect Axium Entertainment Service Corp. as the named insured.

C. Granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Garden City, New York  
April 23, 2008

Yours, etc.

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAULA SCHWARTZ FROME, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendants  
Lynn White, Ruben Rodriguez  
and Barry Kay  
1325 Franklin Avenue  
Suite 225  
Garden City, New York 11530  
(516) 248-6444